Electronically Submitted 12/3/2018 9:38 AM Hidalgo County Clerk Accepted by: Ester Espinoza

	CL-18-6846-D
CAUSE NO	0.

GLORIA G. VILLAREAL	§	IN THE COUNTY COURT
INDIVIDUALLY AND	§	
A/N/F OF YXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX,	§	
A MINOR,	Š	
GLORIA L. VILLAREAL,	Š	
INDIVIDUALLY AND	Š	
A/N/F OF DXXXX RXXXXXXXX,	Š	
A MINOR	8	
	8	
VS.	8	AT LAW NO.
	8	
MACY'S CORPORATE SERVICES,	8	
INC. A/K/A MACY'S	8	
AND SCHINDLER	g	
	8	THE ALCO COUNTY TOTALO
ELEVATOR CORPORATION	9	HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION AND JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE:

COMES NOW, GLORIA G. VILLARREAL INDIVIDUALLY AND A/N/F OF YXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX, A MINOR AND GLORIA L. VILLARREAL, INDIVIDUALLY AND A/N/F DXXXX RXXXXXXXX, A MINOR, Plaintiffs, and hereby complain of MACY'S CORPORATE SERVICES, INC. A/K/A MACY'S herein after referred to as Defendant(s) MACY'S AND Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION, and for cause of action states:

<u>I.</u> DISCOVERY PLAN

Plaintiffs intend to conduct discovery under Level 2 of the Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 190.

<u>II.</u> PARTIES

Plaintiffs reside in Edinburg, Hidalgo County, Texas.

Defendant, MACY'S CORPORATE SERVICES, INC. A/K/AMACY'S, is a corporation

GLORIA G. VILLARREAL PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION AND JURY DEMAND WITH REQUESTS FOR DISCLOSURE



Page 1

Hidalgo County Clerk Accepted by: Ester Espinoza

CL-18-6846-D

formed under the laws of the State of Texas. Defendant's principal place of business is the State of

Texas. Defendant may be served with process by serving the Office of the Texas Secretary of

State as its agent for service at P. O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711-2079, Travis County,

Texas. The Office of the Texas Secretary of State may obtain service of process on Defendant for

service of process and maybe served by process by serving the registered agent, Corporate

Creations Network Inc. at 2425 W. Loop South #200, Houston, Harris County, Texas 77027.

Defendant, SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION, is a corporation formed under

the laws of the State of Texas. Defendant's principal place of business is the State of Texas.

Defendant may be served with process by serving the Office of the Texas Secretary of State as its

agent for service at P. O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711-2079, Travis County, Texas. The

Office of the Texas Secretary of State may obtain service of process on Defendant for service of

process and maybe served by process by serving the registered agent, CT Corp System at 1999

Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

<u>M.</u> VENUE

Jurisdiction is proper in that the amount in controversy greatly exceeds the minimum

jurisdictional requirements of this Court.

Pursuant to Section 15.001 et seq of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, venue is

proper in Hidalgo County in that all or part of this cause of action accrued in Hidalgo County, Texas.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On or about December 6, 2016, Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXX

GLORIA G. VILLARREAL PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION AND

JURY DEMAND WITH REQUESTS FOR DISCLOSURE

Page 2

VXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXX were customers of Defendant MACY'S on December 6, 2016. Defendant MACY'S has an elevator in it's store controlled and repaired by Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION. The property is located at 2100 South 10th Street, in McAllen, Hidalgo County, Texas. Defendant MACY'S, holding open its premises for business and as a result of the purpose Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXXX visited such premises. Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXX, were at all times an invitee as that phrase is known in the law. Plaintiff GLORIA G. VILLAREAL was taking YXXXXXX VXXXXXXX and DXXXX RXXXXXXXX to the escalator to head upstairs. Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXXXX VXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXX, lost their balance and fell down the escalator due to the escalator shaking and making a sudden jerking motion. As a result of Plaintiff's fell and they suffered serious bodily injuries.

Defendants SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION AND MACY'S were the owners and/or possessors and/or had control over the subject escalator where Plaintiffs fell.

<u>V.</u> <u>NEGLIGENCE OF DEFENDANT MACY'S CORPORATE SERVICES, INC.</u> AKA MACY'S

Plaintiffs brings this suit to recover for personal injuries sustained by Plaintiffs as a result of a dangerous condition on Defendant MACY'S property. Defendant MACY'S was guilty of acts and/or omissions which constituted negligence. These acts and/or omissions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1. Defendant failed to maintain a safe premises for its patrons;
- Defendant failed to inspect the premises for potential hazards which could result in damages to its patrons;
- 3. Defendant failed to maintain the premises to avoid potential hazards to its patrons;
- 4. Defendant failed to warn its patrons of potential hazards; and
- 5. Defendant knew or should have known of the hazard on its premises and did nothing to correct it.

<u>VI.</u> NEGLIGENCE OF DEFENDANT SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION

Plaintiffs brings this suit to recover for personal injuries sustained by Plaintiffs as a result of a dangerous condition on Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION'S property.

Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION was guilty of acts and/or omissions which constituted negligence. These acts and/or omissions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1. Defendant had a duty to use ordinary care to ensure the escalator did not present a danger to Plaintiffs. This duty includes the duty to inspect and the duty to warn.
- Defendant failed to inspect the premises for potential hazards which could result in damages to its patrons;
- Defendant failed warn Plaintiffs of the condition and failed to make the condition reasonably safe;
- 4. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known of the condition of the escalator that posed an unreasonable risk;
- 5. Defendants' breach of duty proximately caused injury to Plaintiffs

VII.

At the time and on the occasion in question, Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL,

12/3/2018 9:38 AM
Hidalgo County Clerk
Accepted by: Ester Espinoza

CL-18-6846-D

YXXXXXX VXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXX, were invitees on Defendant MACY'S properties, having gone there for the purpose of purchasing items sold by Defendant MACY'S.

During the course of Plaintiffs visit on Defendant's premises, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXX VXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXX, were caused to suffer injury by the sudden shaking and/or jerking motion of the escalator which was the direct result of an unreasonably dangerous condition on Defendant MACY'S premises and Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION'S equipment. Defendant MACY'S and Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION knew or should have known of the unreasonably dangerous condition of the escalator that posed an unreasonable risk of harm. Defendant MACY'S and Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION had a duty to use ordinary care to ensure the escalator did not present a danger to Plaintiffs. This duty includes duty to inspect and the duty to warn or to cure. Defendant MACY'S and Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION failed to adequately warn the Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXX VXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXX, of the condition of the escalator and failed to make the condition reasonably safe and neither corrected nor warned the Plaintiffs, of it. Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXXX VXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXX, did not have any knowledge of the dangerous condition and could not have reasonably been expected to discover it. Defendant MACY'S and Defendant SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION'S breach of duty proximately caused injury to Plaintiffs. Defendant MACY'S AND SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORPORATION'S failure to correct the condition or to warn Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXX VXXXXXXX AND

DXXXX RXXXXXXX, constituted negligence, and such negligence was a proximate cause of the occurrence in question and the Plaintiffs' resulting injuries. Plaintiffs' conduct was reasonable and prudent at all times and did not in any way contribute to the incident and ensuing injuries suffered by Plaintiffs, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL, YXXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX AND DXXXX RXXXXXXXX.

<u>VIII.</u> <u>INJURIES TO PLAINTIFF GLORIA G. VILLARREAL</u>

Plaintiff GLORIA G. VILLARREAL sustained the following serious bodily injuries as a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's negligence:

- a. Neck pain;
- b. Upper-back pain;
- c. Low-back pain;
- Mid-back pain;
- e. Right shoulder pain;
- f. Right elbow pain;
- g. Right arm pain;
- Right knee pain;
- i. Headaches; and
- j. Plaintiff, GLORIA G. VILLAREAL suffered injuries to her body in general.

INJURIES TO MINOR PLAINTIFF YXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX

Minor plaintiff YXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX sustained the following serious bodily injuries as a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's negligence:

- Head Injury a.
- Hip pain; b.
- Lower-back pain; c.
- Right arm pain; d.
- Left shoulder pain; e.
- f. Right leg pain;
- Left leg pain; and. g.
- f. Minor plaintiff YXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX suffered injuries to her body in general.

INJURIES TO MINOR PLAINTIFF DXXXX RXXXXXXXX

Minor plaintiff DXXXX RXXXXXXX sustained the following serious bodily injuries as a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's negligence:

- Ъ. Left arm pain;
- Ъ. Left wrist pain;
- Left wrist pain; Ç.
- d. Right leg pain;
- Right Knee pain e.
- f. Left Knee pain
- Head injury; and g
- f. Minor plaintiff DXXXX RXXXXXXXX suffered injuries to his body in general.

DAMAGES TO PLAINTIFF GLORIA G. VILLAREAL INDIVIDUALLY

As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant as alleged herein, Plaintiffs GLORIA G. VILLAREAL INDIVIDUALLY suffered the following damages:

- a. Past medical expenses in the amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- b. Future medical expenses in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- Past and future physical disability in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- d. Past and future pain and suffering in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court; and
- e. Past and future mental anguish in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court.

XIII. DAMAGES TO PLAINTIFF GLORIA G. VILLAREAL INDIVIDUALLY AND A/N/F OF YXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX

As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant as alleged herein, Plaintiffs

GLORIA G. VILLAREAL INDIVIDUALLY AND A/N/F OF YXXXXXXX VXXXXXXXX suffered the following damages:

- a. Past medical expenses in the amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- b. Future medical expenses in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- c. Past and future physical disability in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- d. Past and future pain and suffering in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court; and
- e. Past and future mental anguish in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court.

12/3/2018 9:38 AM Hidalgo County Clerk Accepted by: Ester Espinoza

CL-18-6846-D

XIV. DAMAGES TO PLAINTIFF GLORIA L. VILLAREAL INDIVIDUALLY AND A/N/F OF DXXXX RXXXXXXXX

As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant as alleged herein, Plaintiffs GLORIA L. VILLAREAL INDIVIDUALLY AND A/N/F OF DXXXXX RXXXXXXXX suffered the following damages:

- a. Past medical expenses in the amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- b. Future medical expenses in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- Past and future physical disability in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;
- d. Past and future pain and suffering in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court; and
- e. Past and future mental anguish in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court.

XV. REQUESTS FOR DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 194, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, you are requested to disclose, within (50) days of service of this request, the information or material described in 194.2(a)-(j).

<u>XVI.</u>

Plaintiffs requests pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 216, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs request a trial by jury as to all issues of fact presented herein.

12/3/2018 9:38 AM Hidalgo County Clerk Accepted by: Ester Espinoza

CL-18-6846-D

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

FOR THE REASONS STATED, Plaintiffs pray that Defendant be cited in terms of law to appear and answer herein and that Plaintiffs, upon final trial of this cause, have judgement against Defendants, jointly and severely, in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, for costs of Court, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest legal rate, for the recovery of actual damages, and for such other and further relief, both special and general, to which Plaintiffs may be entitled, in that of law and equity.

Respectfully Submitted,

RUBIO & ASSOCIATES, PLLO

GORGEM RUBA

State/Bar No. 24/229812

3609 Buddy Owens Blvd., Suite B

McAllen, Texas 78501

(956) 631-9999- Tel.

(956) 631-8080 - Fax

grubio@grubiolaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS